Composite Higgs models



Università di Pisa

Composite Higgs models

Giacomo Landini Phd student University of Pisa and INFN

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Presentation plan



2 QCD analogy

3 Composite Higgs models (CHMs)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

4 Phenomenology

The renormalization group

Every QFT is an effective theory with an UV cut-off: $\mathcal{L}(\Lambda) \equiv \mathcal{L}(g_i(\Lambda)), \Lambda$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{RG transformation} \ \Lambda \to \Lambda' \\ \mathcal{L}(\Lambda) \to \mathcal{L}(\Lambda') \\ g_i(\Lambda) \to g_i(\Lambda') \end{array}$

 $\frac{dg_i}{d\ln\Lambda} = \beta_i$

The coupling constants are NOT constants! Their values depend on the energy at which they are measured! If the value of a coupling at low energy (IR) strongly depends on its value at high energy (UV), the coupling is UV-sensitive.

The hierarchy problem

Operator $O(\Lambda)$ with canonical dimension [O] < 4 ("*Relevant*") \rightarrow Lagrangian coupling $g(\Lambda)$

$$\lambda(\Lambda) = rac{g(\Lambda)}{\Lambda^{4-[O]}}$$

RG flow of the coupling from the UV towards the IR

$$\lambda(\Lambda_{IR}) = \left(\frac{\Lambda_{UV}}{\Lambda_{IR}}\right)^{4-[O]} \left[\lambda(\Lambda_{UV}) + \delta\lambda\right]$$

Hierarchy between physical scales $\Lambda_{UV} \gg \Lambda_{IR}$

■ Strong UV-sensitivity $\left(\frac{\Lambda_{UV}}{\Lambda_{IR}}\right)^{4-[O]} \gg 1$

- We need to *fine-tune* the UV value to fit the IR one
- This is the Hierarchy Problem (HP)

Scalar masses

$$\mathcal{L}_{M}=m_{\phi}^{2}\phi^{\dagger}\phi+m_{\psi}ar{\psi}\psi+M_{W}^{2}W_{\mu}^{\dagger}W_{\mu}$$

- Fermions \rightarrow protected by custodial chiral symmetry: $\delta m_\psi \propto m_\psi \rightarrow$ no HP
- Massive gauge vectors→ protected by gauge symmetry→ $\delta M_W \propto M_W$ → no HP
- Scalars are not protected by any symmetry → $\delta m^2 \propto \Lambda_{UV}^2 \rightarrow$ HP!

The Higgs boson

In the SM we have one elementar scalar: the Higgs field ${\cal H}$

- The electroweak gauge group is spontaneously broken by the Higgs potential at a scale v (called *weak scale*)
- The spectrum contains the Higgs boson with a mass: $m_h^2 \sim v^2$

The Lagrangian contains a relevant operator:

 $\mathcal{L}_{SM} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\dagger}\mathcal{H}$

which provides the mass to Higgs boson \Rightarrow strong UV-sensitivity of the Higgs mass m_h^2 This is the HP in the Standard Model!

QCD analogy

In QCD we have scalar particles: the pions π

- They are composite states
- They arise as the Nambu-Golstone bosons (NGB) of a (spontaneously broken) global symmetry G_χ (chiral symmetry)
- They are exactly massless $m_{\pi} = 0$ if \mathcal{G}_{χ} is exact
- The explicit breaking of \mathcal{G}_{χ} generates a mass term δm_{π}

Do we have a hierarchy problem for pions? Electromagnetic interactions break $\mathcal{G}_{\chi} \Rightarrow \delta m_{\pi}$ at 1 loop They could be dangerous in principle

Pion potential

We can compute the pion potential generated by e.m. interactions

$$V(\pi) \propto rac{lpha_{em}}{(4\pi)^2} \sin^2(rac{\pi}{f_\pi})$$

A detailed computation gives:

$$\delta m_{\pi}^2 \simeq \frac{3\alpha_{em}\log 2}{2\pi}m_{\rho}^2$$

The ρ meson is the first resonance generated by strong dynamics $m_{\rho}^2 \sim \Lambda_{QCD}^2 \rightarrow compositness \ scale$ The pion mass is sensitive to the compositness scale and not to the UV cutoff of the theory \rightarrow no HP Composite Higgs models (CHMs)

Composite Higgs models (CHMs)

Idea: the Higgs as a NGB!

Some new strong dynamics at high energies characterized by a global symmetry \mathcal{G} spontaneously broken to a subgroup \mathcal{G}'

- The symmetry breaking occurs at energy scale $f \gg v$
- \mathcal{G}' must contain the electroweak gauge group \mathcal{G}_{SM}
- the Higgs field is a NGB (a composite state) → massless Higgs boson!

SM couplings are invariant under \mathcal{G}_{SM} but not under the whole $\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow$ explicit breaking of $\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow$ they generate a mass term for the Higgs boson!

Composite Higgs models (CHMs)

Higgs potential

Analogously to pions, we can compute the potential for the higgs boson \boldsymbol{h}

 main contributions: electroweak gauge bosons (W,Z) couplings and top quark coupling

$$V(h) \simeq \alpha \cos \frac{h}{f} - \beta \sin^2 \frac{h}{f}$$

Under some assumptions on \mathcal{G} : the higgs mass is sensitive to the compositness scale $\sim f$ rather than to the UV cutoff NO hierarchy problem!

Problem: $f \gg v!$ How to generate a *light* Higgs boson, $m_h \sim v$?

Composite Higgs models

Composite Higgs models (CHMs)

Weak scale

There is a difference with QCD! From $\frac{\partial V}{\partial h} = 0$ we get a vev for the higgs:

$$f\sinrac{\langle h
angle}{f}=f\sin heta\equiv v$$

heta is a free parameter called *misalignment angle* The assumption is $heta\ll 1$ Inserting into the potential we find a light mass for the Higgs boson:

$$m_h^2 \sim v^2$$

This is called *dynamical generation* of the weak scale! We have a separation of scales parametrized by $\xi = \sin^2 \theta = \left(\frac{v}{f}\right)^2 \ll 1$ Composite Higgs models (CHMs)

Explicit models

The minimal model: $SO(5) \rightarrow SO(4)$

- $G_{SM} \subset SO(4)$
- There are 4 Goldstone bosons in the fundamental of SO(4) ⇒ this is exactly the Higgs field H!
- No additional degrees of freedom
- A "non-minimal" model: $SO(6) \rightarrow SO(5)$
 - \blacksquare There are 5 Goldstone bosons: the higgs field $\mathcal{H}+\mathsf{a}\ \mathsf{SM}$ singlet η
 - Additional degrees of freedom (η) to the SM ones

There are several models, each of them with its phenomenology...

- Phenomenology

Phenomenology

There are several phenomenological consequences of CHMs:

 Corrections to the SM couplings between the higgs boson and massive vectors V=W,Z

$$g_{VVh} = g_{VVh}^{SM} \sqrt{1-\xi}$$
 $g_{VVhh} = g_{VVhh}^{SM} (1-2\xi)$

- Corrections to the electroweak precision parameters S and T
- new resonances in the new strong sector G (in analogy with the ρ meson in QCD)
- new particles in non-minimal models

In general CHMs are compatible with experimental data for a small enough value of ξ , corresponding to a large separation of scales $(f \gg v) \Rightarrow$ it is required a tuning on ξ ($\geq 10\%$)

Conclusions

- The hierarchy problem is a matter of UV-sensitivity of the parameters of a theory
- The higgs mass in the Standard Model is strongly UV-sensitive
- CHMs try to solve the hierarchy problem by assuming a composite higgs boson, generated as a NGB by some new strong dynamics
- The weak scale is dynamically generated, providing a light higgs boson
- CHMs are still compatible with experimental data, even though it is required a tuning on their parameters



Università di Pisa

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Thank you for the attention! Contact: giacomo.landini@phd.unipi.it