The structure of proteins

Proteins modeling

Minimalist models

Conclusions

## Facoltà di Fisica, scuola di dottorato A.A. 2014-2015



Università di Pisa

### Simulation of proteins: Coarse Graining to minimalist models

Andrea Giuntoli



The structure of proteins

2 Proteins modeling

3 Minimalist models



Conclusions

Why should we study proteins? A wide spectrum of different functions

Proteins: finely structured, highly specialized biomolecules.

Typical size: 1 - 10 nmFunctions:

- Catalysis (enzymes)
- Regulatory
- Structural
- Protection
- Energetics



Hierarchic organization: primary, secondary, tertiary and quaternary structures

Conclusions

## Primary structure

- Amino acids: the basic elements of a protein.
- Chirality of the central carbon atom (C $\alpha$ ).
- Connection through the peptide bond: directionality of the chain.



#### Primary structure Peptide bond



 $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  dihedrals: a complete set of degrees of freedom for the backbone

## Secondary structure

The secondary structure is determined by the  $(\Phi,\Psi)$  values along the chain.

- Main "uniform structures": helices and extended structures (beta-strand and beta-sheets).
- Each uniform structure is characterized by a given  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  value.



Conclusions

#### Secondary structure Ramachandran Plot

- Ramachandran Plot: mathematical description of the secondary structure in the  $\Phi$ - $\Psi$  plane.
- densely-packed areas: main uniform structures.
- Sparse areas: "forbidden regions" due to sterical hindrances.



Conclusions

# Why different models? space and time scales of interest



Conclusions

# How much accuracy do we need? resolution of the models

How to build a model:

- definition of the degrees of freedom
- description of the interactions
- dynamics of the system

Simplification is needed in order to reach longer simulation times: atomistic and Coarse Grained models.



Conclusions

### Coarse Grained models Definition of the degrees of freedom

New variables  $Q_I = Q_I(\{R_i\} \in B_I)$ 

Classification based on the number and position of the beads per amino acid.

Minimalist models: 1 bead placed on the  $C\alpha$ .





#### Coarse Grained models Force Field in the minimalist models



#### Parametrization methods Boltzmann inversion

Parametrization based on the distribution of internal variables, assuming thermal equilibrium.

$$P(Q_1,...Q_n) = P_0(Q_1,...,Q_n) \exp[-(U(Q_1,...,Q_n))/kT]$$

$$\Psi$$

$$U(Q_1,...,Q_n) = -kT \ln\left(\frac{P(Q_1,...Q_n)}{P_0(Q_1,...Q_n)}\right)$$

Ш

 $P_0$  distribution of the non-interacting system.

In case of independent variables:

$$P(Q_i) = P_0(Q_i) \exp[-(U(Q_i))/kT] \Longrightarrow U(Q_i) = -kT \ln\left(\frac{P(Q_i)}{P_0(Q_i)}\right)$$

#### Mapping to the minimalist models how to prevent loss of information



Analytical mapping:  $(\Phi, \Psi) \leftrightarrow (\theta, \phi)$ .

- mapping information from the atomistic resolution level
- helpful tool to approach the PBRP (Protein Backbone Reconstruction Problem)
- bottom-up approach to the parametrization problem

Conclusions

## Mapping to the minimalist model the analytical mapping



 $\theta_i(\Phi_i, \Psi_i) = \arccos[\cos \tau (\cos \gamma 1 \cos \gamma 2 - \sin \gamma 1 \sin \gamma 2 \cos \Phi_i \cos \Psi_i)$  $- \sin \gamma 1 \sin \gamma 2 \sin \Phi_i \sin \Psi_i +$  $\sin \tau (\cos \Psi_i \sin \gamma 2 \cos \gamma 1 + \cos \Phi_i \cos \gamma 2 \sin \gamma 1)]$ 

$$\begin{split} \phi_i(\Phi_i, \Psi_i, \Phi_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}) &= 180^\circ + \lambda_2(\Phi_i, \Psi_i) + \lambda_1(\Phi_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}) \\ &\simeq 180^\circ + \Psi_i + \Phi_{i+1} + \gamma 1 \sin \Phi_i + \gamma 2 \sin \Psi_{i+1} \end{split}$$

## Mapping the Ramachandran Plot

Uniform structures:  $\Phi_i = \Phi_{i+1}, \ \Psi_i = \Psi_{i+1}$ Mapping  $2 \rightarrow 2$ :  $(\Phi, \Psi) \rightarrow (\theta, \phi)$ • chirality:  $\theta(\Phi, \Psi) = \theta(-\Phi, -\Psi)$  $\phi(\Phi, \Psi) = -\phi(-\Phi, -\Psi)$ o directionality:  $\theta(\Phi, \Psi) = \theta(\Psi, \Phi)$ 

 $\phi(\Phi, \Psi) = \phi(\Psi, \Phi)$ 



Conclusions

## The Jacobian Matrix mapping the density distributions

 $J(\Phi, \Psi) = egin{bmatrix} rac{\partial heta(\Phi, \Psi)}{\partial \Phi} & & rac{\partial heta(\Phi, \Psi)}{\partial \Psi} \\ rac{\partial \phi(\Phi, \Psi)}{\partial \Phi} & & rac{\partial \phi(\Phi, \Psi)}{\partial \Psi} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Formal description of:

- bijective regions of the mapping
- density distributions in the  $(\theta, \phi)$  plane



 $\rho(\theta,\phi) = |\det J^{-1}(\theta,\phi)| \to \overline{f}(\theta,\phi) = f(\Phi(\theta,\phi),\Psi(\theta,\phi))\rho(\theta,\phi)$ 

#### Unstructured case Symmetries of the system and variables distribution

Generalization of the mapping:  $(\Phi_i, \Psi_i, \Phi_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}) \rightarrow (\theta_-, \theta_+, \phi)$ Symmetries:



The Jacobian of the mapping is not defined.  $\rho(\theta_-, \theta_+, \phi)$  derived numerically.

Conclusions

#### Unstructured case Three and two variable correlations

Three variables distribution  $\rho(\theta_-, \theta_+, \phi) \rightarrow U^T = -kT \ln \rho$ 

Two variable correlations vanish in the general case





Conclusions

### What have we learned? an eye turned to the future

#### Results of the new approach

- More insight on the minimalist models
- Universality features
- Proposal of a new normalization potential  $U^T$

#### What now?

- Extension to non-uniform Ramachandran Plots
- Implementation of  $U^T$  in simulations
- Comparison with experimental results

Thanks for your attention, any question is welcomed!

"So Long, and Thanks for All the Fish"

## Side Chains



Conclusions

### Hydrogen bonds in secondary structures







Conclusions

#### Atomistic models Degrees of freedom and interactions

- "Bonded" and "non bonded" interactions.
- Empiric functional form depending on many parameters (up to  $\sim 10^3)$   $\rightarrow$  parametrization problem.



$$E = \sum_{bonds} k_b (d - d_0)^2 + \sum_{angles} k_\theta (\theta - \theta_0)^2 + \sum_{dihedrals} k_\phi (1 + \cos(n\phi + \delta)) +$$
$$+ \sum_{\substack{non-bonded \\ pairs}} \epsilon_{ij} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right] + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q_i q_j}{r_{ij}}$$

C on clusion s

### Planar configurations

- $(\Phi, \Psi) = (0, 0)$  $(\theta, \phi) = (75.6, 180)$
- $(\Phi, \Psi) = (180, 180)$  $(\theta, \phi) = (105, 0)$
- $(\Phi, \Psi) = (0, 180)$  $(\theta, \phi) = (117, 0)$
- $(\Phi, \Psi) = (180, 0)$  $(\theta, \phi) = (146.4, 180)$
- $(\Phi, \Psi) \simeq (75, 75)$  $(\theta, \phi) = (103, 0)$



Conclusions

### Symmetries Chirality and directionality

Physical symmetries:

- chirality of the structure
- chain directionality

Related mathematical symmetries:

- $\theta(\Phi, \Psi) = \theta(-\Phi, -\Psi)$  $\phi(\Phi, \Psi) = -\phi(-\Phi, -\Psi)$
- $\theta(\Phi, \Psi) = \theta(\Psi, \Phi)$  $\phi(\Phi, \Psi) = \phi(\Psi, \Phi)$





## $\lambda$ functions

$$\tan \lambda_1(\Phi, \Psi) = [(-\sin \tau \cos \gamma 2 \sin \Phi + \cos \tau \sin \gamma 2 \cos \Psi \sin \Phi - \sin \gamma 2 \cos \Phi \sin \Psi) / (\cos \tau \cos \gamma 2 \sin \gamma 1 + \sin \tau \sin \gamma 2 \sin \gamma 1 \cos \Psi - \sin \tau \cos \gamma 2 \cos \gamma 1 \cos \Phi + \cos \tau \sin \gamma 2 \cos \gamma 1 \cos \Phi \cos \Psi + \sin \gamma 2 \cos \gamma 1 \cos \gamma 1 \sin \Phi \sin \Psi)]$$

$$\tan \lambda_2(\Phi, \Psi) = [(-\sin \tau \cos \gamma 1 \sin \Psi + \cos \tau \sin \gamma 1 \cos \Phi \sin \Psi - \sin \gamma 1 \cos \Psi \sin \Phi)/ (\cos \tau \cos \gamma 1 \sin \gamma 2 + \sin \tau \sin \gamma 1 \sin \gamma 2 \cos \Phi - \sin \tau \cos \gamma 1 \cos \gamma 2 \cos \Psi + \cos \tau \sin \gamma 1 \cos \gamma 2 \cos \Psi \cos \Phi + \sin \gamma 1 \cos \gamma 2 \sin \Psi \sin \Phi)]$$

Conclusions

### $\phi$ linear-complete differences



### 4:1 detailed analysis



C on clusion s

# 3D plots, uniform case $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$



## $\gamma 1 = \gamma 2$ : topology of the mapping

- ₽ . 1,2 3.4 Φ (a) (b) (c) θ (d) (f) (e)
- $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  cyclic  $\rightarrow$  toroidal topology of the  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  plane. Adding the symmetry  $\Phi \leftrightarrow \Psi$ : Möbius strip in the  $(\theta, \phi)$ .

## $\gamma 1 = \gamma 2$ : backmapping and tranformation potential



Conclusions

## 3D plot $\gamma 1 \neq \gamma 2$



Broken symmetry  $\Phi\leftrightarrow \Psi$ 



### detJ zeroes in the $\gamma$ parameters space

det J = 0 in the  $(\theta, \phi)$ plane varying  $\gamma$ parameters.

Reduction of the 4:1 mapping region when  $\delta\gamma = \mid \gamma 1 - \gamma 2 \mid \text{grows}$ 



## Non uniform case: two variable slices







## Non uniform case: single variable

Almost uniform  $\phi$  distribution.

Non trivial  $\theta$ .



Conclusions

## $\phi$ linear-complete differences $_{\rm unstructured\ case}$



Conclusions

## $\phi$ linear-complete differences $_{\rm zoom}$

