

PET monitoring of proton therapy: an overview

Alessandro Del Pizzo, 1st year PhD alessandro.delpizzo@df.unipi.it







Outline

Basic notions

- Particle therapy and radiotherapy
- Range uncertainties

Treatment monitoring

- About secondary radiation
- PET cameras as monitoring system

Applications

- The INSIDE project
- Conclusions



Hadrontherapy

BASIC NOTIONS



Standards of cancer therapy

- •Combination of:
 - o Surgery
 - o Chemotherapy
 - o Radiotherapy
- Only 0.8% of radiotherapy involves particles...
- ...but charts show that number of patients is increasing!





Hadrontherapy

 Involves the use of hadronic beams to deliver energy to tissues:

- Main advantage: deposited energy shows a steep peak at the end of range, known as Bragg peak
 - Better depth-dose distribution compared to radiotherapy
 - Reduction of side effects

O Downside:

- X-rays are a cheaper solution!
- Range uncertainties





Passive scattering vs Active scanning

•Passive scattering:

• Narrow beam broadened using:

• Apertures for lateral conformation,

• Range compensators that yield the Spread-Out Bragg Peak (SOBP)

Complex and patient -specific machinery required

•Active scanning:

• Narrow, mono-energic pencil beam scanned across the target via two magnets

• Planning is easier

• Yields a greater target dose conformity



Spread-Out Bragg Peak

- The Bragg peak must be extended to cover all the tumour
- Different pristine beams with different intensity are overlapped (red lines)
- The resulting dose (blue line) is known as Spread Out Bragg Peak (SOBP)





Range uncertainties

- oGeneral uncertainties:
 - o Beam reproducibility
 - Positioning of patient and tumour variation
 - o Compensator design
- Dose-dependent uncertainties
 - Biology: relative biological effect
 - o Imaging and calibration?
- oNo analytical approach available
- Monte Carlo simulations are performed in order to study adequate treatment plans





Uncertainties: organ motion

 In active scanning, organ motion jeopardises the dose distribution:

OUpper figure:

Planning requires uniform dose the clinical target volume (CTV) and in the Planning Therapy Volume (PTV)

OLower figure: Non-uniform dose due to organ motion!





Treatment monitoring

SECONDARY RADIATION



Monitoring proton therapy

• Previous examples show the importance of monitoring

• Exploit the properties of charged particle therapy:

Secondary radiation such as photons and charged particles

oThree different methods:

- PET (Positron Emission Tomography) positron emitting nuclei can be created when ion beams travel through matter
- Prompt gamma radiation some nuclear de-exicitations lead to photon creation
- Charged particles detection charged particles can be detected



Secondary radiation

•Kinetic energy is transferred from the beam to the target, leading to several processes

- Nuclear de-excitation
- o Nucleon loss, leads to
 - o Charged particle emisson
 - \circ β + decay
- PET focuses on β + decay and consequent positron electron annihilation







β + decay and PET imaging

 $G(A,Z) \to F(A,Z-1)$

 $p \rightarrow n + e^+ + \nu_e$

The isotopes most commonly found in biological systems are 150 and 11C:

 T_{15-O} =121.8 s T_{11-C} =1222.8 s

Positron emission is followed by annihilation with an electron:

 $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma$

The energy of each photon created in the annihilation is equal to the rest energy: 511 keV





Off-line PET

oMeasurements are carried out after ion irradiation

• The patient is moved to a conventional PET scanner

oActivation induced by irradiation is compared with a Monte Carlo simulation

oInfluence on treatment plan:

- o 25-40 mins extra time
- Activity is influenced by decay of emitters and metabolic processes
- Low costs







In-room PET

oA PET scanner can be positioned in the same room where treatment takes place

oReduction of time delays: 4 mins per radiation

OActivity is moderately influenced by the decay of emitters

OModerate cost

In-room PET





In-beam PET

oDelays in treatment plans are reduced to 40 sec per radiation

•Measurement is more relevant than off-line and in-room pet:

o Better correlation between distribution of the measured activity and the deposited dose

High costs compared to previous methods

• Cyclotron machines produce continuous beams

•Sychrotron machines deliver beams during short phases, known as *spill*, followed by a pause (*interspill*)





INSIDE project

SOME RESULTS



INSIDE project: Innovative Solutions for In-beam DosimEtry in hadrontherapy

- INSIDE project is an example of on-line verification
- oBimodal system
 - \circ β+ activity
 - Charged particle tracking
- Active delivery system, the target is subdivided in isorange slices
- oBeam energies: 74-135 MeV
- ODoses 1-2 Gy



Ref: Bisogni et al. «Inside in-beam positron emission tomography system for particle range monitoring in hadrontherapy.» *Journal of Medical Imaging* 4.1 (2017): 011005-011005



Methodology

oInstalled at CNAO in Pavia, several tests performed:

o Homogeneus and inhomogeneous PMMA block – phantoms (5 × 5 × 14 cm^3)

 Anthropomorfic phantom with tissue equivalent to skeletal components

 Range assessment was based on the comparison between the expected PET image and the one measured by the detection system

oFor the INSIDE project, a Monte Carlo code based on FLUKA predicted the induced β+ activity, the production of prompt photons and charged particles

 \circ Physical processes other than β + isotopes production were not taken into account



PMMA phantoms: experimental set-up

•Comparison of in-spill and interspill data beams (In-spill: 17 s, interspill 68 s)

•Comparison of differences between phantom A and B (A: 519 s, B: 485 s)





Inter-spill VS in-spill

oIn-spill PET (b) shows higher noise:

- Reduced acquisition time
- High background noise due to induced radiation
- Proximal rise and distal fall-off edges are in good agreement
- •The agreement is promising for reducing inter-spill time, confirmed by sigmoidal fit of activity







PMMA Phantom A and B

•Comparison of experimental and simulated data from the two phantoms (a) and (b) (without and with air cavities)

• Exposition time A: 519 s, B: 485 s, only inter-spill and after treatment data

oA fit with a sigmoidal function shows good agreement (within 1 mm) between simulations and measurements





Conclusions

•The popularity of hadrontherapy is constantly increasing, due to better dose conformity compared to traditional radiotherapy

- The knowledge of the expected activity distribution and the experimental distribution can be used to validate treatment sessions
- Monitoring is pursued through different mechanisms: the INSIDE project focuses on in-beam PET monitoring:
 - Real time reconstruction
 - Good agreement between simulations and measurements
 - Tests on patients is taking place now!
- oTreatment of moving organs is not yet possible



Main references

[1] Paganetti, H. and Bortfeld, T. (2005), Proton Beam Radiotherapy – The State of the Art, *New Technologies in Radiation Oncology*, Medical Radiology Series

[2] Knopf, A-C and Lomax, A. (2013), In vivo proton range verification: a review, *Physics in Medicine and Biology* 58(15):R131-60

[3] Amaldi, U. (2015), History of Hadrontherapy, Modern Physics Letters A, 30(17), 1540018

[4] Bisogni et al. (2017), INSIDE in-beam positron emission tomography system for particle range monitoring in hadrontherapy, *J. Med. Imaging*, 4(1), 011005

[5] <u>www.ptcog.ch</u> - Particle Therapy Co-Operative Group website



Appendices

EXTRA SLIDES



Proton acceleration: cyclotron and synchrothon

CYCLOTRON

- Dipole magnets produce two regions of uniform magnetic field
- •Between the two dipoles, an oscillating electric field accelerates the particles
- Particles gain energy and cover a larger arc each time they pass through the gap
- Mono-energic beams are produced

SYNCHROTRON

- •Particles move on the same radius as they accelerate thanks to electromagnetic resonant cavities around the ring
- The strength of the magnetic field must be varied in accordance to particle energy
- A synchrotron allows beam extraction for any energy



Physics of proton therapy

• The energy loss of protons within matter is described by the stopping power: $S(E) = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z}$

oBethe-Block formula

$$S(E) = 0.307 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \gamma^2 \beta^2 T_{max}}{I^2} - \beta^2 \right)$$

• Proportional to $\frac{1}{v^2}$: as the proton beam slows down within matter, the stopping power increases



Proton scattering

• Deflection through Coulomb interactions with electrons. This effect can be ignored due to the mass difference.

oCoulomb interaction with atomic nuclei, effect known as multiple Coulomb scattering

 Inelastic collisions with nuclei, in which protons are lost from the beam and nuclei composition is changed



Detection modules of INSIDE PET device

• The PET detector is based on solid state photodetector (SiPM), coupled to lutetium fine silicate (LFS) pixelated scintillating crystals

•SiPM: solid state photodetectors made of arrays of avalanche photodiodes (ADP). They are faster than photomulplier tubes.

•Detection module:

- 16x16 3x3x20 mm^3 LFS crystals, couplet to SiPMs
- o 10 detection modules are disposed in a 5x2 array
- A single PET head contains 2560 detector channels

• The channels are processed by front end electronics (FE) and DAQ system based on field programmable gate array (FPGA)



Test on anthropomorfic phantom

•Activation map generated in the phantom by a proton beam shaped following a real treatment plan with proton energies ranging from 74 to 134 MeV

•Aim of the test: provide a proof of the system functionality in clinical conditions

•PET images have been acquired and reconstructed within the irradiation session in a set-up reproducing a clinical treatment.

